

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 02:19 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Oppose requiring vaccine passport and for medical freedom and freedom of choice.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 02:20 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: As a citizen of Los Angeles I just want to say that I am against a vaccine mandate. We are a free country and you do not ask for people's health care information in a free society in order to move about. What's next? Are we going to make the unvaccinated wear yellow stars? Keep in mind these gene therapies which even the official documentation calls investigative vaccines are only emergency use authorized. They are not fully FDA approved. I encourage council to consider a drug called Thalidomide for reasons why you should think twice before mandating a treatment that has not been tested for long term safety. Thalidomide caused horrible birth defects in thousands of children because it was approved to treat morning sickness in pregnant women without enough safety testing.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 02:26 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose mandatory vaccinations to enter establishments.

Communication from Public

Name: Carrie
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 02:29 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: First, I am resentful that I, as a college graduate and middle class citizen, am treated as an uneducated, selfish, lazy piece of garbage because I do not want to inject something into my body that I am not comfortable with. Second, I am extremely angry that people are dying for NO reason, when Drs. have been PROVING for over a year now that numerous drugs, that are on THE WORLD'S SAFEST LIST OF DRUGS, are saving peoples lives! And all you want to do is make people get an experimental "vaccine", just because it can make billions of dollars for the pharmaceutical industry, who are lobbyists. Our NIH even owns a part of the patents for these "vaccines"! You can fact check that and find it is true. This is a blatant conflict of interest, and yet no one is calling it out. I don't care if it was full FDA approved tomorrow - all other vaccines have taken at least 10 years to prove long term safety. There is a reason that half the doctors and nurses in this country are refusing THIS "vaccine". Have you asked yourself why? Are they ALL suddenly "quacks" and "anti vaxxers"? The protests all over the world over mandates with thousands of people - does that not tell you something? BTW, this "vaccine" has NO virus in it and thus shouldn't be called a vaccine - there is no lab anywhere in the world that has this virus. Don't you think that's strange? We have all other viruses - measles, mumps, chicken pox - but not this one. You will never assure me that they are "safe" and when have we ever discounted natural immunity? We are doing it now, saying all those who were infected must ALSO get the vaccine in order to be part of the "passport" world. When has that EVER been science? I had chicken pox as a child - no one has ever told me I still need to get the vaccine. It's absurd and against science, yet NO ONE is talking about this aspect. Does it not cross your mind why? The science already said your chance of getting infected a second time is extremely "rare". There are those who cannot get the shot because of medical reasons - how can you deny them their rights? How can you deny ANY ONE the ability to purchase food? Grocery stores ARE retail stores, are they not? We are treading down a very scary path towards tyranny if this is allowed to continue. You are just creating more division and hate in this country by creating a 2 tier system and by trying to force people to get an injection that they do not want to get. NO VACCINE PASSPORTS!

Communication from Public

Name: Emma Werner
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 02:47 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Not only is this motion based on the faulty assumption that vaccinated individuals are not able to transmit the virus, but also it discriminates against a large number of people—many of whom are minorities and considered to be largely disenfranchised already. This will set a precedent that will lead to greater restrictions, coercion and punishment down the road for those that choose to make a personal medical decision for themselves (that the science has shown to have no more of an effect on others than the choice to get vaccinated does). The science increasingly supports that vaccination is a personal decision that has the greatest effect on one's own personal health—and not on others. The Delta variant can be spread by vaccinated people just as easily as by the unvaccinated. Society cannot socially isolate, fire and restrict the healthful activities (including gyms and working out) of the same people it claims to want to protect from dying under the guise of bettering public health. And, so, I ask you—are these measures really for public health, or are they just a thinly veiled punishment for non-compliance? If this motion passes we will eventually be seeing an increase in restrictions that will ultimately prevent an entire sector of society from participating in essential activities and businesses, including grocery stores, banks, and post offices. This is a slippery slope, and I ask that you please think carefully when voting on the long-term potential consequences this could have on society socially, economically and emotionally. We cannot let our fear make decisions for us. Please stand up for what is right and good.

Communication from Public

Name: LaShawn Williams
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 02:49 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to ask that any proposal now or in the future to require vaccine passports be denied. I do not have money to line the pockets of the people who make these decisions, and I feel the majority of these draconian and nefarious decisions are made because the integrity of the politicians who make them are compromised. Orthodox doctors are speaking out against the dangers of this COVID "vaccination." We are in a trial phase and the people who have already taken this "vaccination" are a reflection of the truth of the lack of safety and efficacy of this dangerous "vaccination." People who have been "vaccinated" are dying, have severe adverse reactions, and are still contracting this Delta variant that is now all the rage. That is a truth that cannot be denied. It may not be reported by mainstream media, but it is the truth. Why are politicians dictating what should and should not go into someone's body? Essentially this is what you are dictating. You are forcing people to either take the vaccination or you do not have the privilege of fully participating in society. This is not the role of the government and to continue to further the polarization and discrimination in this age we live in is a crime in and of itself which is what this passport will create. The citizens of Los Angeles County should not be subjected to such a discriminatory and horrendous measure. This is not for the good of the citizens of LA County or for the good of humanity. If it is not something that is going to benefit society then it should not be done. And politicians are not doctors. It is criminal to require people to be injected with a now proven ineffective and dangerous "vaccination." This would be a crime against humanity and the citizens of Los Angeles County. Please oppose 21-0878. Thank You, A Los Angeles County Citizen

Communication from Public

Name: Frances Nin
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 03:30 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: OPPOSE VACCINE PASSPORT. As a Los Angeles constituent, it is a serious concern that in order to be apart of society, I would be forced under tyrannical measure to be vaccinated. Many of us CANNOT receive this vaccine. A passport decision would not only be excluding those of us who have already had COVID-19 and choose not to take the risk, but also those who cannot receive the vaccine due to medical endangerment. I stand for MEDICAL FREEDOM. As a human being, it is my HUMAN RIGHT to choose what goes inside of my body. As a tax payer and kind abiding citizen, it has been an honor to be apart of the city of Los Angeles, and it is my hope that it will continue to be so.

Communication from Public

Name: Rebecca Le Vine
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 03:34 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to express my grave concern over this measure and urge the council to vote No. This order would create a two caste medical apartheid system in LA. It is not only a violation of individual rights and personal medical information, it infringes on our basic freedoms. No one has a right to know another's medical status. Once you put something like this in place, where will it stop? Vote No on this. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: BERT RIVERA
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 03:36 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: A vaccine passport creates medical “apartheid” creating 2 classes of people. This is not life and liberty in the USA, this is communism. Creating 2 classes of citizens based on any medical injection is illegal according to the Unruh Civil Rights Act. Other treatments are available. Medical choice is an individual choice, not a choice made by government for the individual. Any form of coercion via medical mandates, vaccine passports, QR does or any type of verification to show proof of vaccination violates Health & Safety codes #24171 “right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies” and #24172(J) “Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion, or undue influence on the subject’s decision”. Universally accepted codes of medical ethics including the Nuremberg Code and the Declaration of Helsinki absolutely prohibit any form of coercion to force individuals participate in a medical experiment. Big Pharma states the vaccines are voluntary and for Emergency Use Authorized only. There are many individuals who are already immune from Covid 19 as they have had the virus and recovered. There are not exceptions for these individuals further violating their rights. The manufacturers of the vaccines are exempt from liability and many individuals are getting sick and dying after being injected with the unknown substances in their drug cocktails. MY BODY, MY CHOICE especially for a virus that has a 99.7% survival rate!

Communication from Public

Name: Courtney
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:00 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I oppose any type of vaccine mandates for schools, county employees, private businesses and anywhere else. The United States is built on freedom and this is against our constitution and individual rights. Also, the Covid 19 vaccine doesn't prevent spread and doesn't work how vaccines are designed to. There are no long term safety studies done and this should remain an option for anyone who wants to be part of the trials and for anyone wanting to take the risk. Those that oppose for whatever reason have a right to do so.

Communication from Public

Name: Charles Lew
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:04 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Re: Ad Hoc Covid Motion, dated August 4, 2021, regarding eligible persons to be vaccinated prior to lawful entry into indoor spaces To the Honorable Mayor Garcetti and the Members of the Los Angeles City Council: It is of no use avoiding the terrible realities that Covid-19 has inflicted upon our community. The death and sickness of friends and family, layered upon the financial destruction of so many Angelino businesses is a tragedy without compare. To that end, I support the efforts of Councilwoman Martinez in her drive to introduce policy supportive of public health objectives. In short, sick communities do not thrive. As a small business owner and as a member of the City of Los Angeles Small Business Commission, I have seen and experienced the difficulties suffered by the owners and operators of small businesses throughout the last eighteen months. Failing businesses don't fail in a vacuum nor do they fail cleanly – like a cleaved apple, rather, their failure is messy, like the pulling apart of stale bread. Employees are let go, families suffer, vendors suffer, landlords suffer, taxable revenues suffer and ultimately, the communities suffer. I have no subject-matter expertise in public health or infectious disease. I defer to those that do as it relates to the best practices to battle and eradicate this virus from our community. I do have experience in doing my level best within the restaurant and hospitality industries to survive though this pandemic. Many small businesses are hanging on by a very thin thread. The additional and unpredictable steps required to operate safely within the Covid-19 environment are not only understandable, but also terribly difficult to execute based upon what has been to date, rapidly changing rules and regulations. I would hope, on behalf of all struggling business-owning Angelinos, that Councilwoman Martinez, along with the rest of the City Council will move with prudence, caution, and ultimately clarity in proposing any vaccination verification requirements upon business-owners that are already burdened with enhanced regulation. Passage of such an ordinance, if not fully thought through, will place business owners in the unenviable role of adjudicating issues of verification authenticity and determining the applicability of ADA and Religious exemptions to vaccination, while at the same time, exposing business-owners to legal liability from the plaintiff's bar. The past eighteen months have firmly

demonstrated that the insurance industry has taken all steps necessary to deny coverage from Covid-19-related business claims. Such burden, if not properly mitigated against in the proposed legislation, will ultimately break many struggling businesses. I would encourage the City Council to seek out public and private comment from the business owners who will be required to execute a policy requiring the verification of vaccination status. Healthy citizens and healthy businesses are compatible, with real and honest effort on behalf of our legislators and representatives. If you have any questions or would like additional perspective, please feel free to contact me at Charles@thelewfirm.com.

THE LEW FIRM APC

9440 Santa Monica Blvd - Suite 301 , Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Office: (310) 279-5145 Fax: (310) 300-1819 Email: Charles@thelewfirm.com

August 10, 2021

Mayor Garcetti
LA City Council
200 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Ad Hoc Covid Motion, dated August 4, 2021, regarding eligible persons to be vaccinated prior to lawful entry into indoor spaces

To the Honorable Mayor Garcetti and the Members of the Los Angeles City Council:

It is of no use avoiding the terrible realities that Covid-19 has inflicted upon our community. The death and sickness of friends and family, layered upon the financial destruction of so many Angelino businesses is a tragedy without compare. To that end, I support the efforts of Councilwoman Martinez in her drive to introduce policy supportive of public health objectives. In short, sick communities do not thrive.

As a small business owner and as a member of the City of Los Angeles Small Business Commission, I have seen and experienced the difficulties suffered by the owners and operators of small businesses throughout the last eighteen months. Failing businesses don't fail in a vacuum nor do they fail cleanly – like a cleaved apple, rather, their failure is messy, like the pulling apart of stale bread. Employees are let go, families suffer, vendors suffer, landlords suffer, taxable revenues suffer and ultimately, the communities suffer.

I have no subject-matter expertise in public health or infectious disease. I defer to those that do as it relates to the best practices to battle and eradicate this virus from our community. I do have experience in doing my level best within the restaurant and hospitality industries to survive though this pandemic. Many small businesses are hanging on by a very thin thread. The additional and unpredictable steps required to operate safely within the Covid-19 environment are not only understandable, but also terribly difficult to execute based upon what has been to date, rapidly changing rules and regulations.

I would hope, on behalf of all struggling business-owning Angelinos, that Councilwoman Martinez, along with the rest of the City Council will move with prudence, caution, and ultimately clarity in proposing any vaccination verification requirements upon business-owners that are already burdened with enhanced regulation. Passage of such an ordinance, if not fully thought through, will place business owners in the unenviable role of adjudicating issues of verification authenticity and determining the applicability of ADA and Religious exemptions to vaccination, while at the same time, exposing business-owners to legal liability from the plaintiff's

bar. The past eighteen months have firmly demonstrated that the insurance industry has taken all steps necessary to deny coverage from Covid-19-related business claims. Such burden, if not properly mitigated against in the proposed legislation, will ultimately break many struggling businesses.

I would encourage the City Council to seek out public and private comment from the business owners who will be required to execute a policy requiring the verification of vaccination status. Healthy citizens and healthy businesses are compatible, with real and honest effort on behalf of our legislators and representatives.

If you have any questions or would like additional perspective, please feel free to contact me at Charles@thelewfirm.com.

Respectfully,

Charles Lew

Charles Lew, Esq.

Principal

THE LEW FIRM, APC

Communication from Public

Name: Elsa Hernandez
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:08 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I would like to official register my opposition to vaccine mandates. It is our constitutional right to choose what to do with our bodies. The adverse effects of the Covid shot have now outweighed it's potential benefit. It has also been scientifically proven that with supplements and proper treatment Covid has a good survival rate. It's 99.99% for some. So why would I inject an experimental treatment when I have excellent chances of recovering from Covid. How do you test for Covid? The CDC itself has recalled a PCR test. Where is the real science behind your recommendations?

Communication from Public

Name: Monique Marissa Lukens
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:14 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Those injected with the Covid bioweapon (under the guise of “vaccine”) are the super spreaders.
<https://bitchute.com/search/?query=vaccine%20bioweapon&kind=video&sort=new>
Do you want to be responsible for spreading it even more? Listen to this whistleblower: <https://bitchute.com/video/snvoNdcBzaAZ> “Abrien Aguirre worked in Oahu's biggest Rehab and Skilled Nursing Facilities in three separate covid units and he shares what he witnessed” Any questions, contact Dr. Peter McCullough, MD <https://heartplace.com/dr-peter-a-mccullough> What about those with sincere religious beliefs, are you going to go against their Freedom of Religion? That would be opening yourself up to a massive lawsuit, as if you aren’t already. Councilmember Mitch’s Office wouldn’t write a website I wished to give one of reps regarding this. What nastiness.

Communication from Public

Name: Armaiti May
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:27 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Vaccine mandates are unconstitutional and unenforceable. These are experimental gene modification injections which have not had time to undergo adequate safety testing. Their efficacy is questionable at best considering most of the patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in Israel are vaccinated. So many have suffered injuries and deaths from this shot. I will strongly consider leaving this city if these mandates go into effect.

Communication from Public

Name: JT Faller
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:29 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Honorable Councilmembers, NO vaccine mandate for L.A. county! It is total discrimination against those who choose not to be vaccinated. Also, there are many people who already have a broad-based, robust, durable immunity through already having beat COVID. By removing all services from those without the vaccine, you are ultimately forcing the vaccine. Please remember that you are acting like Nazis when you force medicine on individuals. The Nuremberg Code (1947) Permissible Medical Experiments The great weight of the evidence before us to effect that certain types of medical experiments on human beings, when kept within reasonably well-defined bounds, conform to the ethics of the medical profession generally. The protagonists of the practice of human experimentation justify their views on the basis that such experiments yield results for the good of society that are unprocurable by other methods or means of study. All agree, however, that certain basic principles must be observed in order to satisfy moral, ethical and legal concepts: 1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity. 2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature. 3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the

results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results justify the performance of the experiment. 4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury. 5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects. 6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment. 7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability or death. 8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment. 9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible. 10. During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject. Also, there are many people who already have a broad-based, robust, durable immunity through already having beat COVID. Also, there are many people who already have a broad-based, robust, durable immunity through already having beat COVID. Also, there are many people who already have a broad-based, robust, durable immunity through already having beat COVID. Also, there are many people who already have a broad-based, robust, durable immunity through already having beat COVID. Also, there are many people who already have a broad-based, robust, durable immunity through already having beat COVID.

Communication from Public

Name: Dr Marsha Blakeslee
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:36 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Covid vaccine county mandates are dangerous, unscientific and will not reduce contraction or transmission of Covid infection. Data from countries dealing with the Delta variant, longer than the U.S., shows that Covid case counts and hospitalization data are equal to the percentage of the population that is vaccinated. This is why 85-90% of all hospital cases in Israel are fully vaccinated. To vaccinate is a personal decision and does not impact the spread of this disease. Mandates won't protect anyone, but will afford needless side effect risk to many

Communication from Public

Name: Christine Hawkins
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:38 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Since the Covid 19 vaccine doesn't prevent disease or prevent transmission, people have the right to decide if it's right for them. I got it but don't believe it should be mandated. CDC came out on August 5 and said it doesn't prevent transmission so what is the point. Another thought to consider is how will this possibly be policed??!! You can't even stop illegal fireworks.

Communication from Public

Name: Brooke A Foxworthy
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:42 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: We vehemently oppose vaccine passport and mandates for Los Angeles county. Foxworthy Family

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:52 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose the motion to implement vaccine mandates in the city of Los Angeles for entrance to restaurants, bars, retail establishments, fitness centers, spas, and entertainment centers such as stadiums, concert venues, and movie theaters. I believe that we, as a city and country, are in a position to heal and show compassion towards our fellow neighbor and citizen. This mandate would divide our city into two classes, and further reinforce hatred, contempt, fear and discrimination of the other. I do not wish to live in a city or society that would murder the spirit of its people and small businesses that have struggled throughout the last 19 months, in order to implement an arbitrary mandate that only serves the few.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:52 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: There isn't enough evidence that the vaccine works! A lot of people are having bad side effects like blood clotting and brain aneurysms! A variant appears in the middle of summer and can't be tested? The common flu has disappeared miraculously! Many doctors and scientists have said a vaccine does not make Covid go away! I am a person who can die from this jab! Government should not mandate a vaccine that hasn't been tested with the proper time it takes to find out important details! This vaccine also has caused immune systems to become messed up! Doctors say your natural immune system is better than any vaccine period! And furthermore government would be taking our freedom away and that is not American! I feel like the government is taking this huge risk of really messing peoples bodies up in 3 to 4 years...because not one government official can predict what will be the effects that arise 4 years down the line! My body, My CHOICE!

Communication from Public

Name: Frederick
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:55 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I oposr mandated vaccines and masks, government must remain outside of our medical choices. Today is masks and vaccines; what medical choices will government take for me tomorrow? where does it end? Government should stay outside of peoples medical choices. I object any for form of mandated, vaccines, masks or medical choices. Those mandates violate my freedom to decide what's best for me and my body. My body, my choice.
Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: DW
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:01 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Please keep our at risk citizens safe. If people don't want the vaccine, fine that's their choice, but unvaccinated people in enclosed public spaces is a risk to us all and poses an imminent threat to our sick and vulnerable. My father is recovering from a heart attack and vaccinated, although I worry about him catching the delta variant as the numbers rise due to the unimaginable number of people who, against the same scientific data they trust to cure their cancers, refuse to get the proven safe (even safer than any in history) vaccine. Indoor spaces should be for vaccinated people only. Their choice, fine, but not in exchange for my fathers life. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Nicollette
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:06 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I would like it to be known that I am deeply opposed to agenda item 19 to make vaccines mandatory in any regard. This will create a society that negatively affects minorities who have the lowest vaccine percentages. We cannot stand to isolate people based off of personal medical decisions. Please educate the people and let them make their own informed decisions about their own bodies and medical decisions that affect them. Again, I stand opposed to agenda item 19 and any item that would mandate vaccinations in any place. Thank you for your time.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:09 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose ANY vaccine mandate!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:17 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I do not support the vaccination mandate being proposed at the City Council. There are a lot of people that aren't going to get vaccinated for a variety of reasons, from religious beliefs to health issues. The mandate will create issues for businesses, stores, bars, restaurants. It will also negatively affect mental state of people. Leave people's personal choice up to them. Vaccinated people get abs spread covid just the same. There's no point to create any more limitations at this point. At least until more effective vaccine is created.

Communication from Public

Name: Dawn
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:19 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, ensure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and REMIND YOU ALL OF OUR Constitution for the United States of America. In 1776, we fought the British Crown to obtain freedom. Now, it seems, we are forced to fight once again against the Globalist Crown. Let me warn you, should you mandate a Vaccine Passport, we the people will make sure you are removed from your position and arrested for treason. Therefore, I am advising you on the following violations you will be making against the Geneva Convention & US Constitution pertaining to We the People... should you vote yes on this mandate.
Amendment I - You have already violated our right to be heard in a public forum (Delta Variant or not). You also gave little notice to the citizens of California and you did so under the cover of a weekend night. Amendment IV and HIPAA - this passport violates our rights against illegal searches and seizures. You cannot create a medical document that exclude people on the basis of private medical information nor can you force us to disclose this information to average citizens. Amendment IX - This is a violation of the right to privacy, medical autonomy and equal protection of our person under the Constitution. Amendment XIV (Section 1) - You shall not make or enforce any law or privilege which would go against the rights of a legal citizen of the US that would deprive any person of life, liberty or property, without due process or equal protection of the law. Geneva Convention - Violation of human rights and medical Coercion The only reason for you to be mandating a vaccine passport is to punish those who do not comply with your illegal overreach of power of forcing a medical coercion on others. You are either uneducated and misinformed about the virus & vaccine or you are culpable in trying to enforce tyranny and communism upon a free people. You might as well put a yellow star on the arms of the unvaccinated. Except it is the vaccinated whose lives are being placed in a death camp line. However, I will give you the benefit of the doubt and assume you are just uninformed, so let me educate you. This vaccine is more deadly than the virus itself. The virus has a 99.96% survival rate yet, it's becoming quite clear,

people have a much lower chance of surviving this vaccine. There are whistleblowers who have come forward with proof that 45,000 deaths were wiped from the CDC's data, and that's just from one database. Lawsuits are in progress against the CDC as I type. I got the virus and still have the antibodies 7 months later. These vaccines need 6 month booster shots to maintain antibodies. Natural immunity will always be greater than a man-made synthetic vaccine w/lists of side-effects and dangers. And we have medications known to cure the virus. HCQ, Ivermectin, Silver Bullet, antibody serum to name a few. Instead of voting on a passport, maybe you should discuss why covid cases rose once the vaccine was administered? How is it vaccinated people shed spike proteins that can infect others with a so-called Delta variant? How is it scientists have yet to isolate the Covid 19 virus (let alone the Delta variant) but can create a "vaccine" in 9 months without adding a small amount of said virus in the vaccine? Isn't that how ALL vaccines are created? So, if this has nothing to do with a virus, what is your end game? De-population? Trans-humanism? Globalism? World War III? Or maybe, all the above? You won't win. See, I serve a God who's light extinguishes darkness, who's truth destroys lies. The New World Order will fail and whoever comes in agreement with their evil plans will eventually be revealed as traitors. Thus, I recommend you prove yourself loyal, to America and the citizens within, by voting "NO" on this measure. For, in the poetic words of Dylan Thomas, we will "not go gentle into that good night", as we will "rage, rage against the dying of the light."

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:23 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am firmly opposed to vaccine mandates for entry into public or private establishments such as concerts, gyms, grocery stores, malls, etc. No kind of segregation or apartheid is acceptable, including medical. Please understand that these mandates are obviously inherently racist, as it is estimated that more than two thirds of the black population is unvaccinated.

Communication from Public

Name: Dairenn Lombard
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:37 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Do not, under any circumstances, pass any ordinance that requires such a thing in order to patronize any business in the City of Los Angeles. The barely recovering businesses that somehow managed to re-open or stay open in spite of being shutdown for over a year will not survive the +50-60% drop in patronage from all of the people who either can't or won't be able to present "vaccination proof." This includes UNDOCUMENTED MIGRANTS WITH NO ID to get the vaccine in the first place and countless African Americans who have yet to get the shot either due to religious reasons (such as those cited by the Nation of Islam, Muslims and Baptists) or medical problems such as severe allergic reaction. It is unconscionable to do enact such a thing in the wake, also, of the tens of thousands of known adverse side-effects (including miscarriages and death), and--moreover--clear admission from the CDC itself that NO COVID Vaccine is capable of either preventing infection, preventing or reducing spread, preventing or lessening the severity of symptoms, or even the prevention of death from Covid-19. This is a personal medical decision that must not be punished by executive fiat by the city of Los Angeles. The only alternative for those of us living here will be to move our business outside of the city/county, up to and including relocating out of the city. Taking jobs, businesses, economic growth and opportunity as well as intellectual property and industrial capabilities with us. This is a catastrophe in a city already badly harmed that can be avoided by moving to OPPOSE any motion requiring "proof of vaccination" to do business indoors in Los Angeles. I am a life-long resident of this city of 41 years, privately employed and have written this message of my own free will with no influence from any person or organization. Thank you for reading it.

Communication from Public

Name: Patricia Glueck
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:45 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I am amazed that some people are unwilling to take action to help stem the spread of COVID. Wearing masks, physically distancing, and getting the vaccine will help our city, state, and country get out of this pandemic. But it takes everyone. There's been too many gatherings in the last 17 months that had a tragic outcome: the virus was also a guest and ended up infecting many of those present. There's been many people who stormed into stores without a mask, thinking they're entitled to endanger others, including employees. There's children under 12 and those with autoimmune disorders who can't get the vaccine, and they're being endangered by those who insisted they have the "freedom" to spread this disease. These people don't get it that there's laws such as wearing a seat belt while a passenger or driver in a car that serves to protect you. That it's illegal to drive while intoxicated to protect the driver and others. There's laws concerning food and drug standards to protect you. So I just don't get why people are being selfish and irresponsible. I'm guessing many of those NOT wearing a mask between June 15 and July 15, were people who weren't vaccinated. The members of my fully vaxxed family continued to wear masks, even when the mandate was relaxed, because we would prefer not to be sick. So I support LA City and LA County in passing motions that will protect those of us who chose to stay free of Corona.

Communication from Public

Name: Brianna Elizabeth Hernandez
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:47 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I am officially registering my opposition to any vaccine mandates. It is a violation of my constitutional rights. Additionally, I would like to see a sample of the virus. How are you testing for covid and for the variant? The CDC just recalled a PRC test. Why do you not recommend supplements and medications such as ivermectin or hcq. They have both been proven effective. I have the research articles to back up my claims. Do you have scientific studies that have been peer reviewed that support your recommendations.

Communication from Public

Name: Vanessa Hernandez
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 05:54 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I am officially registering my opposition to vaccine mandates. Their have been an alarming adverse effects as a result of this vaccine. Look up the data in both VAERS and at the CDC websites. I implore you to look at the science. Covid has not been isolated, how then can you test for it? The PCR test have been recalled by the FDA as it did not pass its testing from the FDA. How are you testing for the variant? Covid has an excellent survival rate. I am a young women who has dreams of being a mother, the covid shot has been scientifically shown to damage the ovaries. Not enough research has been done on these and other adverse effects. Why would you do this to young women. Please lean on your human side and think of all the individuals who are now disabled or dead as a result of the covid shot. I know that if I take care of my immune system, take vitamin supplements and mind good hygiene I will survive covid. There are also medications that help with covid. I request that you provide the public with scientific evidence for your mandates.

Communication from Public

Name: Christiane Wong
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 06:44 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I do not believe in covid vaccine requirements to enter into establishments. A vaccine passport as a requirement to enter into ordinary daily establishments for the living of life is bordering very near tyranny and whilst the current pandemic is a concern, we need to ensure that people are still given the freedom of choice, otherwise what kind of a system of government are we dangerously entering into? A country so divided does not need more reasons for division to feed the fire of a broken community. Please reconsider the long term effects of passing this motion.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 06:54 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: As a LA city Resident, I am highly concerned about the government enforcing a vaccine mandate. These vaccines are still in an experimental phase and only approved under emergency use. With a lot of people experiencing severely adverse side effects. I also feel this is a complete violation of my freedoms as an American citizen. I am completely against any kind of vaccine mandate. People should be allowed to choose what they put in their bodies

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:08 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear city council, Thank you for your public service. I would like to say that I strongly oppose any vaccine passports or regulations or mandates requiring individuals to show or display or provide proof of vaccination status in order to enter or access any public or private spaces or use or purchase any public or private services/goods or receive any public or private funds/services in Los Angeles County, California and any part of this country. Furthermore I am against any regulation, guidelines or mandates or passports put in place for public or private businesses or organizations or services which would hinder their ability run their business or provide services or access or use or purchase of goods/services to all people no matter their vaccine status or create a social system where restrictions due to vaccination status are allowed. This is not a political issue, it is fundamentally human issue. To propose any mandate/law/guidance that requires vaccination status to access public/private spaces or services or purchase of goods is Unlawful and against the constitution. It will directly affect minority groups, and people with health complications or anyone who has chosen or has been told my their doctor that it is a risk for them to receive vaccines. Furthermore the COVID-19 vaccines have not been FDA approved, which brings about a huge amount of legal issues, you cannot coerce humans into an experiment on their bodies without a huge amount of lawsuits on your hands. It goes against freedom (this is not political freedom is for both parties), human rights and it actually is about so much more than the vaccines themselves. This is an act of modern day segregation is discriminatory against a minority people who have a role to play as the individuals who choose or must risk their own lives against a virus by not getting vaccinated, the choice is their own to make. Should this mean they don't have access to public/ private places/spaces, goods/services? No. If your not swayed by the concept of free will, medical freedom under the constitution, and human liberty and sovereignty to make ones own choices, ones body is ones choice. That any vaccine passports would be segregation and discriminatory and un-constitutional and would make you fall on the wrong side of history. Because instead of being kind and standing up for the minority, for the rights of citizens you choose to take them away to coerce them to get a vaccine they don't want

which is experimental and not FDA approved. Wrong on every level. >>>> Then maybe you will be swayed by the latest information from the CDC >>>> The COVID-19 vaccine does not stop the spread of COVID-19. This information has come from the CDC. Since the vaccine does not prevent spread of the virus there is absolutely no reason to segregate unvaccinated people from the vaccinated or vice versa. The vaccinated are spreading COVID so they are just as much a risk to any compromised persons health as the unvaccinated are... the logic of "keeping people safe" in creating vaccine passports or regulation/mandates in terms of who accesses public/private spaces and goods/services thus does not hold up! And will not hold up in the court of law. Especially for a non FDA approved experiment. That's unlawful coercion. And the opposite of informed consent (a basic human right recognized internationally following the Nuremberg trials). Please do the right thing. Do not discriminate and segregate. Access to public/private spaces (libraries, bookstores, supermarkets, movie theaters, shops etc) is a right all citizens have that is not subjective to their medical choices... this right leads to and is part of wellness and life liberty and the pursuit of happiness. If you restrict people's freedom you directly affect their well being, mental health and physical health, their lives directly. It is absolutely wrong - and there is no scientific reason for it (spread being just the same amongst vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals). Thus Unvaccinated people are not putting anyone in danger (besides themselves) anymore than the vaccinated. You have no reason to take away liberties. If you do it would be a very clear misinformed and misguided choice whether you are aware of it or not coerce people who are not harming anyone but possibly themselves, into a medical decision by force. I will leave on this note, thank you for your public service. The act of being a public servant means you protect the rights of the people. You should be very careful that you protect all people and their freedom as this is your civic duty.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:10 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: As humans and Americans we have the choice to decide what we put into our body and what we do not. We have health freedom. Some people are not able to vaccinate due to auto immune issues, religious reasons and other medical issues. Some are not willing to vaccinate due to the unknown ingredients and the numerous deaths, illnesses, miscarriages and hospitalizations that have been reported. These can all be found on the VAERS website. The vaccine does not protect anyone against getting or transmitting Covid and enacting a requirement to get vaccinated is against our freedom and is illogical. In addition many businesses will lose customers and it puts them in danger of losing their business as well. Taking away people's rights to go out in public is the first scary step into a world where we no longer have our freedoms and I ask that you consider this when making your decision. This is not the vaccinated against the unvaccinated this is for our freedom as humans and Americans.

Communication from Public

Name: Judy Frankel
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:24 PM
Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: With all due respect to those who believe vaccination causes immunity, this Covid-19 Gene Therapy has not been proven to prevent infection. In fact, by "immunizing" so many people, we may be causing more virulent strains to emerge. Witness the strains of bacteria that now are resistant to treatment via overuse of antimicrobial hand sanitizers and overuse of antibiotics. The Covid-19 "vaccine" is an experimental gene therapy, using mRNA that produces spike proteins. We now know that it does not stay in the arm where it is injected; it has been shown to travel to other organs and tissues in the body. It can cause pulmonary hypertension by making spike proteins that adhere to the walls of the pulmonary capillaries, a condition that worsens until death in (typically) 3 years. Giving these Covid "vaccines" as an experiment, you would have to agree that we have thrown away the precautionary principle. In the past, the vaccine industry would study the safety and efficacy of their products over a 10- to 15-year period. By rushing these products to market, we are no longer taking the precautionary principle seriously. Do these novel genetic therapies cause infertility? We simply do not know. However, it has been shown that the spike proteins that these so-called "vaccines" create are in the same family as syncytin-1 and syncytin-2 reproductive proteins in sperm, ova, and the placenta. Dr. Janci Chunn Lindsay, who holds a doctorate in biochemistry/molecular biology from the University of Texas, and has more than 30 years of scientific experience in toxicology and mechanistic biology, spoke on April 23, 2021 at the CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices in Atlanta, GA. She said, "I have yet to see a single immunological study which disproves this. Despite the fact that it would literally take the manufacturers a single day to do these syncytin studies to ascertain this [once they had serum from vaccinated individuals]. It's been over a year since the assertions were first made that this [the body attacking its own syncytin proteins due to similarity in spike protein structure] could occur." I urge you to listen to her 3-minute testimony:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Vj3xGT6izE> before they remove her from YouTube, since a lot of censoring is going on. She states: "We potentially could sterilize an entire generation" during her testimony. In a petition filed with the U.S. District

Court for the Northern District of Alabama (for a temporary restraining order on EUA approval of experimental vaccines for 12-to-16 yo's), the authors stated, "The manufacturers did not provide data on this subject despite knowing about this spike protein similarity on syncytin proteins for more than one year; there are now a very high number of pregnancy losses in VAERS2 and worldwide reports of irregular vaginal bleeding without clear explanation." I urge all of our citizens to consider: these genetic therapies fail to provide a track record of safety or efficacy.

Indeed, consider that according to VAERS statistics of vaccine-related deaths since the start of the Covid-19 vaccination program, we are killing 54 people each day. That's 12,366 reported deaths by vaccine in 228 days. Never before have we sacrificed so many people to a medical experiment in plain view of the public WITHOUT OUTCRY. This has got to be stopped. Why aren't we using (for example) Ivermectin, a proven effective drug, FDA approved with a long history of efficacy and safety?

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 12:44 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, I am a vaccinated mother of a toddler who wanted to comment AGAINST any vaccine mandates. I live in Highland Park, 90042. All in my family who are eligible, have been vaccinated. I am not in any way against vaccination. But the vaccines are too new to require any mandate. Moreover, people's health conditions are too varied to require this. I felt ill for three weeks after I got vaccinated. I am healthy and happy to have gotten vaccinated but it is hard for me to argue that others with any underlying health conditions have to aggravate chronic health conditions with vaccination. Like all of us, I am worried for both my health and my child's health, but I feel confident in the power of masks, which have been proven to be effective, when and where necessary as well as increased ventilation. Vaccine mandates are an overreach of the city's government and I urge you to vote against them. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Emily
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 01:16 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Vaccine passports, or other verification of personal medical information proves discriminatory towards minorities and sets a dangerous precedent for and disabled groups. Over 70% of the black community is unvaccinated. Have we forgotten the Tuskegee experiments, where the majority of the 600 black male participants were medically abused by scientists? People of color make up a majority of the unvaccinated population. Requiring vaccination in order to access workplaces, businesses, restaurants, or participate in society at large - is a discriminatory practice. Similarly, segregation of vaccinated versus unvaccinated persons reminds Americans of a time when discrimination was both socially acceptable and legal. Have we forgotten the Civil Rights Movement? Have we forgotten that Black Lives Matter? Looking forward to the future, vaccine passports or identification systems set a precarious precedent for the disabled. Americans have the right to medical privacy. We do not need to publicly broadcast what medical treatments we are currently undergoing, or what disabilities we might possess. Many disabilities are not visible, and being forced to disclose such disabilities, could quickly endanger the disabled - whether visible or not. Let us remember that homosexuality was considered a mental illness until the 70's. Have we forgotten the Holocaust, where specific social groups (Jews, homosexuals, the disabled, those with opposing political views, etc) were not only segregated from society, but ultimately tortured and exterminated? "Never again" - but yet, here we are. Have we forgotten that abortion is legal in California, to guarantee women's medical choice, and also protect those who make this choice? Or, does freedom of medical choice only apply when it's convenient? In social settings, we have all witnessed discrimination. We are at a critical moment in history, where we must establish legal precedent that DOES NOT enable discrimination against humans based on race, political views, medical choices, or any other identifier. Los Angeles should be a leader in ending discrimination - not one of the first to cave to mass hysteria.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 04:47 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am AGAINST a requirement to get the jab in order to visit an establishment. How can one discriminate? We are not in a communist country. WE ARE IN AMERICA!

Communication from Public

Name: ns
Date Submitted: 08/05/2021 03:22 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: this is not Germany in Hitler's time. First, you will have to do better than take your "science" from a non medical Doctor. And maybe dig deeper into the CDC and their flip flopping and quiet retractions. If someone is vaccinated, then it should matter not if the person next to them is not, correct? Last I checked, we are still a country that should allow people to be able to have a choice of whether to participate in a human trial or not without worrying about their freedoms being taken away.

Communication from Public

Name: Carly W
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:08 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this mandate to require vaccination proof for entry into indoor spaces in Los Angeles. As of today, August 10th 2021 less than half of black adults and just over 50% of adult Latinos in Los Angeles have been vaccinated. Implementing a mandate that forbids entry into public spaces will impose modern day segregation on minority groups that already are subject to discriminatory measures in society. City council members that vote in favor of this measure will be on the wrong side of history, this goes for the council members who introduced this measure, O'Farrell and Martinez. We the public will not forget this poor decision to divide our community at these most difficult of times. If the city council truly cares about improving the well-being and lives of individuals at risk for developing severe covid symptoms, the focus should be public health considerations that promote positive lifestyle choices including keeping physical fitness centers open to all regardless of color or ethnicity and promoting a sense of community that instills stewardship and support, versus separating people based on one factor, vaccination status. Lastly, restricting access to public spaces for individuals who have recovered from covid and have long-term natural immunity are practices not based in science or evidence based medicine.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:11 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Please do not mandate vaccination as it's our body our right and our choice.

Communication from Public

Name: Susan Brogdon
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:12 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: 08/06/2021 08:53 PM Council File No: 21-0878 Comments for Public Posting: Why does the City Council think it has the authority to MANDATE vaccine passports? The Constitution and the Bill of Rights gives us our freedoms and NO WHERE is it written that the government can MANDATE the people to do anything. This is an erosion of our rights by an overreaching and tyrannical regime- While politicians vehemently oppose Voter ID and actively encourage illegal immigration, even Covid positive cases, it seems the very height of tyranny and oppression to demand vaccine passports for the Stakeholders of this community- I suppose the Council is hoping to get away with something unconstitutional until the laws and the courts rule against this measure- This move is unprecedented- we have had viral outbreaks in the past. Thousands have died of the flu and other diseases, every year- and no hysteria, no tyranny- why now? This is NOT about a virus- This motion has NOTHING to do with protecting people from a virus with a 99% survival rate- and EVERYTHING to do with taking away people's right to choose what they put in their bodies- and forcing the will of the government, which is to serve the people not control them, on the citizens of this City- This Council is trying to sneak Communism into this City by forcing businesses to "enforce" your radical agenda.

Communication from Public

Name: Sel B.
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:20 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I oppose to the vaccine mandate proposed for county employees.
mandate file 21-0878

Communication from Public

Name: Robert Djikneyan
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:40 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I oppose this unconstitutional proposal which violates the Nuremberg Code established in the USA v Brandt decision.

Communication from Public

Name: Kaylyn
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:41 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I oppose vaccination passports and any forced action implemented in obtaining the entirety of our public to bend to the will of a communist regime. We should always have a right to protect our bodies from harmful injections/toxins. Please do not participate in the normalization of segregating our nation more than it already has in the past year. Our freedoms are diminishing everyday. Stand up for yours!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:41 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Out of all the vaccines I have had in my life....tetanus, measles, polio. I have never witnessed the indecisiveness over a vaccine that says I would have to wear a mask and socially distance even when fully vaccinated. Or that I could still contract or spread the virus even when fully vaccinated and that it needs a booster after 3-6 months. I've never been bribed with beer, donuts, lotteries, gift cards or cash to take a vaccine. I was never judged if I didn't take it. I WAS NEVER DISCRIMINATED AGAINST FOR REGULAR SERVICES. Of the vaccines I listed above, I was never told I was a bad person for not taking it.....or taking it for that matter. I have never seen a vaccine that threatened the relationship between a family member and/or a close friend. I HAVE NEVER SEEN IT USED FOR POLITICAL GAIN. I have never seen a vaccine that I had to worry about mix and matching and yet told it's ok to do it one day and then told the next day not to do it...then on and off, on and off, again and again. I HAVE NEVER SEEN A VACCINE THAT THREATENED SOMEONE'S LIVELIHOOD, TRAVEL, SCHOOL, JOB ETC. I have never seen a vaccine that allows a minors consent to supersede his/her parent's consent! So, after all I have shared, can someone tell me I am a conspiracy theorist, selfish, uneducated, non researched, not following the "science" for not willing to take this vaccine UNTIL the clinical trials are over at least two years from now?? Finally, after all the vaccines (shots) I listed above, I have never seen a vaccine like this one that discriminates, divides, and judges a society such as this one. This is one powerful vaccine! It does all these things that I mentioned and yet, It doesn't do what all the other vaccines (shots) that I mentioned earlier were designed to do (and successfully achieved, I might add) which is.....fight off Covid 19 that you have an extremely high chance (99.8%) of recovery from. I Understand this is not about my health! If it was, they would not censor professionals and scientists who have contrary opinions. If it was, they would investigate thoroughly the over 11k deaths reported and over 500k adverse events following the shot If it was about your health, they would not be censoring world renowned doctors who have developed treatment protocols with great success for Covid positive patients. In fact one was nominated for a Nobel Prize. If it was about health they would not be sacrificing our young people(

no risk) to protect others. If it was about health, informed consent, individual choice and privacy would be important. If it was about health, then freedoms would not be suspended for choosing to put off the shot. If it was about health why are college students taking the shot because they are being coerced with threats of limited freedoms and inconveniences and NOT for better health. If it was about health they would stop using the faulty PCR to measure infection NOW and not wait until the end of the year. Please do not pass this ordinance that obviously is not about health. If you pass this you will be on the wrong side of history. You will be responsible for more Angelinos being out of work. You will also be responsible for any injury or death that is the result of people taking this vaccine because they have no other choice.

Communication from Public

Name: DAVID AARON
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 11:41 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Hello Friends, and I call you that because that's what we are. Despite all of the fear and divisiveness flying rampant these days, we are still friends. In case you are wondering we live in a country where you are considered "Innocent Until Proven Guilty" unlike other countries where your guilt is presumed and you have to fight for your freedom. The current push for Vaccine Passports is a dangerous idea because it creates a "guilty until proven innocent" scenario, and clearly violates your medical privacy rights under HIPAA. Fear is a powerful motivator and those in power know this. I know my friends are smarter than to respond to FEAR and other mis/disinformation tactics being used to brainwash you. I am not talking about a conspiracy. Please call your local rep and say NO to vaccine passports. Thanks because this has nothing to do with gettin or not getting the jab. This is about personal liberty. NO NO NO, PLEASE VOTE NO ON VACCINE PASSPORTS, AKA "YELLOW STARS" yes, this is exactly like Hitler forcing Jews to wear the Jewish star on their clothing. We are not living in a fascist/nazi state yet, are we?

Communication from Public

Name: MS
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 11:46 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Regarding Council item 19 for meeting date 8/10/21 -strongly object to this proposal for vaccination requirements for public spaces. -the science does not support this. breakthrough cases have been well documented therefore transmission is unstoppable. Masks that are not n95 have little to no benefit. Focus should be encouraging vulnerable to get the “vaccine”. -the “vaccines” only have EUA and not full approval. The manufacturers can’t possibly know the effects, even if FDA full approval is granted in autumn. -this is a violation of people’s civil rights and possibly a violation of ADA. -the CDCs own data state the median age of mortality for those dying from Covid is 80 (greater than the median lifespan), the median number of comorbidities is 2.6. -the City of LA has no right to create these measures that are arbitrary and capricious when reviewed via a vis the data.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 11:46 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: <https://newspapers.ushmm.org/events/german-government-forces-jews-to-wear-yellow-stars> is this where you are leading us? we are paying attention and we vote. Thanks for doing the right thing. NO to Vaccine Mandates and Passports

Communication from Public

Name: Jai Gopal Khalsa
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:55 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: My wife and I and ALL of our family members vehemently oppose vaccine requirements for indoor public spaces. It's pure discrimination and goes against our constitutional freedoms. The supposition is that the virus is deadly and that this overrules our rights. This would be fine if there were hard, solid facts to base it on. However the very basic reason we oppose this is that the virus has NEVER BEEN ISOLATED. The medical standard for many years has been Koch's postulates-- an accepted scientific method for isolating and identifying a virus.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koch%27s_postulates -- THIS HAS NEVER BEEN DONE and therefore there are all manner of conflicting and false information being spread about the lethality of this virus which isn't true. This has led to misinformation being used to impose unlawful restrictions on the freedom of the public. This is another example of it and we vehemently oppose this unlawful behavior by city state and local governments and we will not tolerate it. I am happy to appear before the board with facts and figures if necessary. Thank you for your consideration.

Communication from Public

Name: Caroline Veach
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 12:03 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Requiring COVID-19 vaccination passports creates a two-class system and is illegal according to the Unruh Civil Rights Act, violates California Health & Safety Codes 24171, "right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies," and 24172(J), "Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion or undue influence on the subject's decision." Vaccine passports do not take into consideration the large number of individuals who have contracted and recovered from COVID-19 and are therefore naturally immune as Covid survivors.

Communication from Public

Name: Ani
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 12:17 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Please vote NO NO NO to 21-0878. Vaccine passports violate our rights. I strongly oppose the proposed vaccine passport. It is unconstitutional. For the sake of our children's future, we can not have them live in a vaccine mandated lifestyle. It is absolutely not fair to our future generation. It is unlawful and unmoral. Please stop this immediately. I absolutely oppose 21-0878. Please vote NO NO NO.

Communication from Public

Name: Michael Hughes
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 12:20 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Re: Agenda item 19 for the August 11 meeting: There are lots of medical & scientific reasons not to take the Covid-19 vaccines: Inventor of mRNA vaccine technology Dr Robert Malone MD said he wished he did not take the Covid-19 vaccine. He blows the whistle and says the Covid-19 vaccines should be stopped. As I predicted Google/Youtube deleted this important video interview of him. Please watch on bitchute via this link below while you still can. Google co-founder Sergey Brin was born in the Communist Soviet Union: he should know better. URL of video:
<https://www.bitchute.com/video/SjOH3w7AVXFq/> Many MDs & scientists state the deaths were already going down before many people had the Covid-19 vaccines. The lower Covid case & death counts are not a result of the vaccines according to many scientists & MDs: the lower counts are from herd immunity already reached by early this year & by effective & better treatments being used on patients more often by January of this year which brought deaths down. Also, warmer weather starting in the spring brought deaths down by the time a lot of people took the vaccines. Very credible video testimony including a biologist with a background in immunology and an entrepreneur who has been funding scientists studying repurposed drugs to end the pandemic. They show evidence of the vaccinee causing many more than the 11,000+ deaths reported by the CDC's chaotic VAERS, lack of safety review & testing of the Covid-19 vaccines, how the gene therapy in the vaccinee dangerously moves throughout the body, problems with masks, positive evidence on low cost treatments.& censorship of credentialed scientists and MDs. They convincingly show how the Covid-19 vaccine has probably already caused more death than all previous vaccines in the US in the last 30 years combined. Covid-19 vaccines easily could have killed over 100,000 in the US by now Dr Malone MD & other experts say. The CDC hired Harvard researchers to review the VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) and they estimate only 1% of deaths and injuries are reported in VAERS. Dr. Robert Malone MD, the inventor of mRNA Vaccine technology, works with the FDA on regulation & has talked recently to high level people at the FDA who admit they are frustrated by the lack of organization of the CDC's VAERS data & associated analysis of injuries & deaths caused by the Covid-19 vaccines. The deaths &

injuries caused by the Covid-19 injections are being suppressed and Dr Malone talks about this. This is 3 hours long but still an incredible must watch.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 12:21 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I OPPOSE the vaccine passport ordinance. No one should be coerced to take an experimental medical treatment in Los Angeles. Medical decisions are made between doctor and patient. The Los Angeles City Council and the Los Angeles City Government have no business inserting themselves in personal medical choice. A vaccine passport is a form of tyranny and medical dictatorship. It will result in a segregated society, and I want better for Los Angeles. NO to the vaccine passport ordinance.

Communication from Public

Name: Brittany
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 01:51 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: You cannot pass this! On the FDA.gov website under Emergency Use Authorization explained, in black and white it clearly says "The FDA has authorized the emergency use of the vaccine, of the known and potential benefits and risks, to the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown, THEY HAVE THE OPTION TO ACCEPT OR REFUSE THE VACCINE." You cannot force American citizens, America is a "free country", to inject themselves with something that is not even FDA APPROVED! In addition to the FDA's website it clearly states on the Moderna and Pfizer Covid-19 Vaccination Fact Sheet "IT IS YOUR CHOICE TO RECEIVE OR NOT RECEIVE THE COVID-19 VACCINE." How about making n95 masks more readily available. One of the perks of being an American citizen is the freedom of choice. If we start mandating what people put in their bodies, where they can go, what they can do, where does it stop? You give up freedoms little by little until you have none. This is fascism and tyranny. Our founding fathers would be rolling over in their graves. What happened to my body my choice? Please consider the law under the emergency use authorization act and please consider what you're actually asking people to do before voting yes. You're saying get the vaccine like we tell you or here is your punishment. That is not what this country was built on.

Communication from Public

Name: Damian Fante
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 01:08 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I tried commenting to board of supervisors but the website kept timing out. I am opposed to items 21, 73a and 73b. I am adamantly opposed to any vaccine mandate or law. The government should never be permitted to make personal medical decisions. Both my wife and eldest son have autoimmune diseases. The number one side effect of the vaccines is autoimmune diseases. If they were to take one of the vaccines their immune systems could be irreparably damaged. Will you claim responsibility for any health damages? This is an experimental vaccine. We don't even know how this will effect people for years to come. Of course I don't even want any possibility of them having a harsh reaction to the vaccine. Not taking it is the best course of action for them. Also, why is the vaccine the only way treatment for covid? There are plenty of other things to do and take to boost your immune system and fight the virus, but we don't talk about those. I do many things on a daily basis to keep my health and my immune system working at a high level. Those should be honored just as much as the vaccine. How do you know that what I do doesn't work as good or maybe even better than the vaccine? If "we are all in this together" then we should be able to find treatment models that each individual is comfortable with. Together doesn't not mean good for some and not for others.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 01:20 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: referring to August 11 Meeting Agenda: I oppose vaccine passports and businesses to require vaccine proof. It's violation of medical freedom and no one should ask you for your medical history and files

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:29 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I do not approve vaccines passport as this would cause segregation and would go against what this country was built upon, freedom.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:29 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Please do not approve vaccine passports in Los Angeles. The Covid-19 vaccines are emergency use only. If passports are required to enter certain places, this is coercion. It is prohibited under the Nuremberg Code.

Communication from Public

Name: Rebecca
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:01 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: With regards to proposed vaccine passports for LA ... NO! No to creating more divides. No to segregation. People with the vaccine can get it and spread it as we've seen on Vaxx only cruises and vaxx only concerts. This is not steeped in science in any way. With a 99.8x% survival rate this is a joke of a "pandemic" and at this point there is sufficient good data to help people make informed decisions. Creating a second class citizenship out of those exercising medical autonomy is an egregious step backwards and again has nothing to do with "science". We do not prevent people carrying ANY kind of communicable diseases to not participate in society... although we have attempted this before... during the AIDS crisis. (Anyone remember an airline that attempted a No Aids flying rule??). If vaccinations protect the vaccinated they should have no issue shopping, dining or enjoying entertainment with the unvaccinated. This also unfairly and unjustly targets minorities who are vaccine hesitating with GOOD reason. This is NOT the way forward!

Communication from Public

Name: Poeina
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:06 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I don't think vaccines should be mandated it's racist and stupid and not even approved by the fda yet except for emergency use and there are therapeutics to treat covid that work great. Let people make their own decisions about their healthcare.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:14 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Please vote to oppose vaccine mandates and keep medical decisions a matter of freedom of choice. People choose to vaccinate or not based on individual circumstances and should be allowed regular daily activities regardless of those choices. Thank you for fighting to keep America a place of freedoms.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:19 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: What about people with medical issues that make them unable to get vaccinated? If an unvaccinated person is willing to wear a mask like we have been doing for the last 18 months there should be that option? What about kids? Unvaccinated kids can enter but not adults? How does this all work? Will boosters be required to enter when the time comes? It seems like the spread is happening amongst friends hanging out unmasked not in people masked in public. If unvaccinated are fine wearing a mask why should that stop as an option?

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:22 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: If City of LA implements a vaccine requirement to enter businesses I will not frequent any establishment requiring it and all my business will go to Orange County, and I will not vote for any politician or political party that endorses it. This is deeply unethical and any politician who endorses it should be removed from office.

Communication from Public

Name: Abraham
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:30 PM
Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: We thank you for wanting to protect us and wanting the best for our health! Your proposal is NOT the way to do it. My family strongly opposes vaccine mandates! Vaccines have pros and cons, just like any other medicine. About \$4.6 Billion has been paid by the US Government for vaccine injuries and deaths, according to the US Government's Health Resources and Services Administration (<https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hrsa/vaccine-compensation/data/data-statistics-report.pdf>). The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, run by the FDA and CDC, reports more than 12,000 deaths from Covid Vaccines, plus many more injuries. (See <https://www.openvaers.com/covid-data>, which graphically reflects the database <https://vaers.hhs.gov/data.html> -- also attached). There are alternative, safe and effective therapeutics, like Ivermectin. See this article by Senior Editor of the Blaze Daniel Horowitz called "WHO data: Ivermectin reduces COVID mortality by 81%" (<https://www.theblaze.com/op-ed/horowitz-who-data-ivermectin-reduces-covid-mortality-by-81-also-who-we-still-dont-recommend-it>). And here's an article from the Wall Street Journal called "Why Is the FDA Attacking a Safe, Effective Drug?" (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/fda-ivermectin-covid-19-coronavirus-masks-anti-science-11627482393>) -- also attached. Where there's risk, there must be choice! Vaccine Mandates/Passports represent medical tyranny, coercion and discrimination. You would be creating a two-caste system. Under the Nuremberg Code, Declaration of Helsinki and California law, all medical drugs/procedures must be by choice, and without coercion. Please allow people to make the best medical choice for them. Thank you for supporting medical freedom.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:30 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Highly opposed to agenda 19. There should no way be a vaccine mandate. This is an experimental vaccine that should be optional at all times.

Communication from Public

Name: Sonny Sano
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:37 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I protest the passage of Agenda 19, File 21-0878. This is tyrannical and goes against our Freedoms.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:41 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Everyone in the states should have their own freedom to get the vaccine or not.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 10:47 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Taking vaccine will affect my health.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 11:00 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Please DO NOT implement a vaccine mandate anywhere. Many people cannot get the vaccine for medical reasons and also personal health is a private issue. The CDC states that the virus has a 99% survival rate so it is not necessary or reasonable to implement any kind of mandates. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Sharon K
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 11:11 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I have worked closely with the public throughout all of last year until now and I strongly oppose this order. I do not think it is right to force an experimental product on people, when actual data that shows the harms of this vaccine are being covered up. There are over 500,000 adverse reactions, including deaths, from the Covid-19 vaccine on VAERS(Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) that is used by the CDC. Where there is risk there must be choice, as with previous vaccines it should be a choice whether someone wants to get it or not. Private medical information should not be given out, this applies with people who are HIV-positive they do not need to disclose this information, so why is vaccine status any different? These vaccines are not FDA approved, and the clinical trials are not over until 2023 so this is still in the experimental phase. What happened to my body my choice? The CDC has admitted that vaccinated people are super spreaders, and countries with the most vaccinations such as Israel, Iceland, and Gibraltar have the highest case per capita rates in the world. So this shows that vaccines are not reducing the number of cases or deaths. When an individual suffers an adverse reaction will the City be responsible for paying their bills? Because the vaccine manufacturers have no liability if you're injured or die. The City has no right to force an experimental product on people in order to enter businesses or indoor places and should honor medical freedom for people to choose whether they want it or not, without being coerced. California Civil Code 51 states that "all individuals are equal regardless of medical condition and are entitled to full and equal accommodations in business establishments." Requiring people to show their medical status is a serious violation of that California Civil Code, the U.S. Constitution, and the Nuremberg Code. This is equivalent to asking for people's papers during Nazi Germany, and many survivors from this era have compared that too. Please do not vote to implement this unconstitutional violation of our medical freedoms.

Communication from Public

Name: Liani K
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 11:33 PM
Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose this order. I do not think it is right to force an experimental product on people, when actual data that shows the harms of this vaccine are being covered up. I am a senior citizen and have always been for getting vaccines and have always gotten them. But with this I can not stand by getting something that has no transparency. There are over 500,000 adverse reactions, including deaths, from the Covid-19 vaccine on VAERS(Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) that is used by the CDC. Where there is risk there must be choice, as with previous vaccines it should be a choice whether someone wants to get it or not. Private medical information should not be given out, this applies with people who are HIV-positive they do not need to disclose this information, so why is vaccine status any different? These vaccines are not FDA approved, and the clinical trials are not over until 2023 so this is still in the experimental phase. What happened to my body my choice? The CDC has admitted that vaccinated people are super spreaders, and countries with the most vaccinations such as Israel, Iceland, and Gibraltar have the highest case per capita rates in the world. So this shows that vaccines are not reducing the number of cases or deaths and shuts down any conversation of a "vaccine passport." When an individual suffers an adverse reaction will the City be responsible for paying their bills? Because the vaccine manufacturers have no liability if you're injured or die. The City has no right to force an experimental product on people in order to enter businesses or indoor places and should honor medical freedom for people to choose whether they want it or not, without being coerced. California Civil Code 51 states that "all individuals are equal regardless of medical condition and are entitled to full and equal accommodations in business establishments." Requiring people to show their medical status is a serious violation of that California Civil Code, the U.S. Constitution, and the Nuremberg Code. This is equivalent to asking for people's papers during Nazi Germany, and many survivors from this era have compared that too. Please do not vote to implement this unconstitutional violation of our medical freedoms.

Communication from Public

Name: Hannah
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:32 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose this motion to implement a vaccine mandate in Los Angeles. There are so many reasons that someone may choose not to get vaccinated - personal, MEDICAL, religious, etc. - and taking away freedoms of those that opt out is discrimination. A mandate would negatively affect a huge amount of BIPOC community members, as a large percentage of them have not been vaccinated. In addition, the vaccine does not prevent transmission (as per the CDC) and therefore a mandate does not make any sense and would only perpetuate segregation and division among the LA community. Please deny this motion and choose to stand for freedom of choice.

Communication from Public

Name: Rob
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:44 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: The idea of requiring proof of vaccination is medical tyranny. It violates the constitution and encumbers the public with an unscientific and ridiculous burden. If these vaccines actually work, then why are vaccinated people afraid of others? Today a cruise ship in Texas had an outbreak of covid among VACCINATED passengers! They don't work!

Communication from Public

Name: Roland Flemister
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 07:47 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I strongly disagree with even taking this in consideration. This is such overreach of authority that it's ridiculous and even unconstitutional. What you are proposing is Jim Crow. Total segregation. You do not even have the science to back up what you're considering. And what about those individuals that cannot get any of the shots for health reasons, are you proposing to put them in internment camps for their own good? What I see with this proposed action is reminiscent of 1939 and how Hitler came to power. Separating the people. I feel the city council is power drunk to even consider such a thing.

Communication from Public

Name: Erin
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:00 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I do not support a medical passport system. Introducing such restrictions against the members of society will carry serious ethical problems regarding equity, privacy and individual liberties. It is awful that California is even considering this medical tyranny.

Communication from Public

Name: David Berryman
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:07 PM
Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council Members, I am deeply concerned by the discussion currently taking place in Los Angeles to potentially mandate the COVID-19 vaccine in certain settings. Beyond the frightening implications such a decision could have on civil liberties, i.e., enforced medical discrimination, there are a number of valid reasons why some Los Angelians remain hesitant to take the COVID vaccine. Both the Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna vaccine are based on messenger RNA (mRNA) technology, which has never been approved for human use. Previous attempts at developing therapeutic drugs using this technology resulted in toxicity from repeat doses, which is particularly troubling given the active discussions on booster shots due to waning efficacy: "Moderna had to pivot. If repeated doses of mRNA were too toxic to test in human beings, the company would have to rely on something that takes only one or two injections to show an effect." <https://www.statnews.com/2020/11/10/the-story-of-mrna-how-a-once-dismissed-idea-became-a-leading-technology-in-the-covid-vaccine-race/> "But when repeated doses of mRNA are needed to resupply a protein over a lifetime, side effects—potentially due to the buildup of lipid nanoparticles in the body or an inflammatory response to foreign RNA—loom larger." <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/12/messenger-rna-gave-us-covid-19-vaccine-will-it-treat-diseases-too> Unlike most vaccines, which typically take 10-15 years to develop and test, the current set of COVID-19 vaccines have only undergone a few months of clinical trials and have no long term safety profile. Consequently, it is unknown whether antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) or other autoimmune issues, which occurred in previous trials of coronavirus vaccines (e.g. SARS and MERS), could arise at a later time or with different, mutated strains of the virus. The vaccine has already been linked with heart inflammation (myocarditis) in young adolescents/adults, with an incidence rate of up to 1 in 3000 according to one report submitted to the Israeli Ministry of Health. This age group, in particular, has a significantly lower risk of developing severe reactions from the virus itself, with an infection fatality rate estimated to be less than 0.01%. In addition, the efficacy of the vaccine and its ability to reduce transmission remains under question. In many countries with high percentages of their population fully vaccinated, including Iceland, Israel, and Malta, cases are markedly rising and the majority of hospitalized patients are now fully vaccinated. Gibraltar, in particular, has the highest vaccination rate in the world (greater than 99%) but is still currently undergoing its second highest wave in COVID-19 cases. New data from the Israeli Ministry of Health also suggests significantly lower efficacy over time: "The Israeli statistics also appeared to paint a picture of protection that gets weaker as months pass after vaccination, due to fading immunity. People vaccinated in January were said to have just 16% protection against infection now, while in those vaccinated in April, effectiveness was at 75%." <https://www.timesofisrael.com/israeli-uk-data-offer-mixed-signals-on-vaccines-potency-against-delta-strain/> Finally, from what I can see of the potential plans for Covid passports, there is no category for me. I had Covid in January of 2021. I have natural anti-bodies against the virus. Obviously I do not deny the virus exists nor that it has killed vulnerable parts of our communities. The current plan delineates Los Angelians as either vaccinated or unvaccinated. There is a 3rd category, my category, of Covid survivors. Our own National Institute of Health has found that natural immunity is more durable than the vaccines are turning out to be. I do not want to become less immune by taking the vaccine! <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/nih-research-matters/lasting-immunity-found-after-recovery-covid-19> In this case, the burden of proof lies on the vaccine makers to prove the safety and efficacy of their vaccines. While many Los Angelians have taken the vaccine, others prefer to take a more cautious approach with a novel, experimental medication and should not be discriminated against based on this personal health decision. This is an absolutely fundamental, red line issue for many Los Angelians like myself – the freedom to decide what medical procedures I undergo without fear of discrimination or segregation. Sincerely, David Berryman

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:12 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am against mandates to show medical record's of vaccination status to enter business and be a member of society.

Communication from Public

Name: Miya
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:38 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: To whom it may concern, I am requesting that you do not go forward with vaccine mandates to enter business establishments for LA county. Not only is this unethical, unconstitutional and a violation of our medical freedoms, but you will only be harming these businesses. After the year and a half these business have suffered, you will only be imposing more damages as many people (vaccinated and non vaccinated) will refuse to enter business establishments that impose these rules. Hasn't our city seen enough devastation with the "leadership" over the last few years? Also, a reminder that you are all elected officials and work for the people. If you chose tyranny, you are only forcing the residents to rise up and we will. Also, a reminder that African American men are among the lowest numbers of vaccinated individuals in our county, you will be creating modern day segregation. But I am sure many of you are aware of this already.

Communication from Public

Name: Kate
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:55 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Please reject agenda item 19 to implement vaccine mandates in public spaces. This is a violation of civil liberties and will further hit businesses and tourism.

Communication from Public

Name: Rachelle Paniccia
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 08:57 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Opposed to vaccine mandates to enter retail facilities, bars, restaurants and gyms.

Communication from Public

Name: Elizabeth
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:13 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I do not agree with vaccine passport mandates

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:15 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Regarding agenda item 19. Though I don't oppose vaccines I do oppose mandating them because it discriminates against people mostly of which are minority groups. People of all religions, ethnicities, races, etc. should be welcome and segregation should not be tolerated in these SYSTEMS.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:21 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose vaccine mandates for a untested(by science terms) drug to be mandated to enter businesses or being told by especially the dam government. The incentives by federal government to each city government office is basically duress to each person being forced to take this shot. Listen to the scientists that aren't incentivised by large some of money. If approved your votes are started the next Civil War. In 2021, with a virus with a 1% death toll this government is making a BIG deal for what? Stop worrying about your bribes. Let those live that choose to live without drugs.

Communication from Public

Name: Kimi
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:41 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to agenda 19. It's medical discrimination & segregation.

Communication from Public

Name: Norene
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:45 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Unable to receive the covid vaccinations due to ovary cancer tumor medical history, I only have 1 left and want to have kids. Thank you for understanding.

Communication from Public

Name: Julia zagha
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:46 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to the vaccine mandate, it is discriminatory and a threat to human freedom. (Agenda item 19 file no. 21-0878)

Communication from Public

Name: Rebecca
Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:48 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: Absolutely no medical apartheid in LA!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:53 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am against mandating vaccines for any person or child. It is unconstitutional and goes against our rights to freedom of choice. It also goes against my religious beliefs. This would be unlawful. I believe in a persons right to choose over there own body. We need to file NO on agenda item 19 allow every person their right to medical freedom.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/10/2021 09:57 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am strongly opposed to the proposed vaccine mandate to enter establishments. I am being treated for multiple rare autoimmune diseases and am immunosuppressed because of the chemotherapy and immunosuppressants. Therefore, since I have almost no immune system, the vaccine would result in little to no immune response. In addition, my body has been extremely sensitive to things which I was never sensitive to before (I was in the ER due to an anaphylactic reaction from eating salmon which I have never been allergic to before; the sun now triggers severe skin and muscle reactions). It is my right to choose not to put any foreign substance into my body to protect it from reacting in severe and life threatening ways, especially since this is not an FDA approved shot, has no long term safety data for a never before used delivery system and no liability for any harm caused by it. I have many friends, and healthy relatives who either died from the shot or had extremely bad reactions. In addition, this has a 99.97% survivability rate with several supportive medications available. It is my right to choose to protect my delicate health by not exposing my body to any foreign substance that might send me to the ER again or cause severe reactions. Thank you for your consideration.